

NAME & TITLE ERIC W. TISO, SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE CHAIR

AGENCY NAME & DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
417 EAST FAYETTE STREET, 8<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR

SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE
MINUTES FOR JAN UARY 12, 2011

CITY of

BALTIMORE





TO

Chief John Carr, Fire Department

Mr. Stanford Leach, Parking Authority

Mr. Kirkland Gabriel, DOT TEC

Ms. Valorie LaCour, DOT Planning

Mr. John Thumbi, DOT Traffic

Mr. Bill Beatty, Department of General Services

Dr. Nollie P. Wood Jr., Mayor's Office

Ms. Miriam Agrama, DHCD Plans Examining

Mr. Geoff Veale, Zoning Administrator

Mr. David Tanner, BMZA

DATE:

January 28, 2011

#### In attendance were:

- Eric Tiso, Gary Letteron, and Amy Gilder-Busatti for the Department of Planning;
- Chief John Carr for the Fire Department;
- Mariam Agrama for HCD Plans Examining;
- Kirkland Gabriel and John Thumbi for the Department of Transportation; and
- James Carroll for the Department of Public Works.

# **Agenda**

- 1. 3200 North Charles Street Johns Hopkins Undergrad Teaching Lab
- 2. 801 West 33rd Street Mt. Vernon Church Parking Lot
- 3. 3802 East Northern Parkway Curb Cut Appeal Postponed

# 3200 North Charles Street – Johns Hopkins – Undergrad Teaching Lab

**Zoning:** R-9 Plans Date:

**Block/Lot:** 3690/001 **Urban Renewal:** None

**Environmental:** Baltimore City Green Building Standards

Historic: None

Total Site Area: Not Listed

**Gross Square Footage:** 105,200 gsf

In addition to Committee Members and Planning staff, in attendance was:

• Danielle Brock, RK&K;

John d'Espagnier, RK&K;
 Brian Smiley, Ballinger.

#### **Project Summary:**

This project is for a 105,200 gsf addition to the existing Mudd-Levi Hall (164,000 sqft). The addition will be the "fourth side" enclosing an existing plaza that will be improved with a new student commons addition. The program will include three floors of teaching lab space for the chemistry, biology, biophysics and neuroscience departments. The top floor is shell space for future department expansion as needed. This addition will house the relocation of existing lab functions.

#### **Comments & Issues:**

### • Plans/Permits Review:

 A code analysis meeting will be required for this project. Details will be required for the sheer wall, and floor sections.

Travers Nelson, JHU; and

- The project will need to meet the requirements of the Baltimore City Green Building Standard. This building anticipates certification at LEED Silver rating, which will satisfy this requirement.
- $\circ$  Construction type: Business type "B" for the majority, Assembly type "A-3" for the  $\pm 4,500$  gsf student commons portion.
- o Applicants met with Mr. Kaisler, BCFD, and access to the building is acceptable for Fire Protection. White Walk is built to support Fire Department vehicles.

### • Environmental/Landscaping:

- o Micro-bioretention areas and rain gardens will be located nearby.
- Mayhan Rykiel provided the landscaping plan. Coordinate review with Amy Gilder-Busatti, RLA (410-396-8360).
- o The proposed walkway is an option in this plan, pending costing.

## • Parking/Traffic:

- Loading for the building will come from San Martin Drive, but the addition will not include any loading docks. The largest vehicle servicing this building will be an SU (30').
- o The lower level door nearest the garage is a secure door.

### • Accessibility:

Since the existing parking area adjacent to Mudd-Levi Hall will be removed for this addition, where will the displaced ADA parking spaces go? What is the

nearest location to replace these spaces, and is the accessible path to the building the shortest possible? Please explain.

### **Next Steps:**

• Address ADA parking concern, and please submit a .pdf copy of the submittal.

#### **NOTE**:

- Approvals of site plans are contingent upon the applicant fulfilling all the site plan requirements, Subdivision Rules and Regulations, Zoning Code requirements, Forest Conservation requirements, Critical Area and related environmental controls, Traffic Impact Study requirements, and addressing all comments provided by the Site Plan Review Committee during and after the plan review process.
- All approved final site plans must be stamped.